

## Le Van Hung

---

**From:** EDAS Conference Manager <help@edas.info> on behalf of ICITconf'2023 <icitconf2023-chairs@edas.info>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 3, 2023 9:14 PM  
**To:** Le Van Hung  
**Subject:** [ICITconf'2023] Review for paper #1570919519 completed

Dear Dr. Hung Le,

Thank you for completing the review of the paper #1570919519 ("Using Machine Learning Algorithms to Diagnosis Melasma from Face Images") for ICITconf'2023. Below is a copy of your review.

You can modify the report by going to

<https://apc01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fedas.info%2FR.php%3Fr%3D12175779&data=05%7C01%7Clevanhung%40humg.edu.vn%7C565b322565424b51cf9508db7bcfd28f%7Cc852d62b30324cdc96ab30e4368fabd7%7C0%7C0%7C638239904763297065%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Iik1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=wJ7s4SgOFVks9DGFqd6CZcTeAsarHOacpt4RIF%2BK6%2BM%3D&reserved=0> up to the due date of Jul 3, 2023 23:59 America/New\_York.

Best regards,  
The conference chairs

---

> \*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

> \*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

> \*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

> \*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

> \*\*\* Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The article is the first to mention the use of YOLO v8 model for detecting melasma.

> \*\*\* Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

The training data and the testing data have not been described clearly. The data should include images containing cases of melasma, as well as images without melasma. The training data should be diverse and

comprehensive to ensure that the model learns to correctly identify cases of melasma. The testing data is used to evaluate the performance of the model after it has been trained. The testing data must ensure that there is no overlap with the training data. This helps to ensure objectivity and reliability in the testing results.

> \*\*\* Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

The training data and the testing data of the model need to be described in detail.

> \*\*\* Comments to the TPC: Confidential comments to the TPC (will be not sent to Authors)

The content of the article is not related to IoT.

> \*\*\* Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

No

> \*\*\* Overall Recommendation: Overall Recommendation  
Rejected (0)