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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give an explicit description for the automorphism groups of finite multitype models in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
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## 1 Introduction

For a point $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we denote by $z^{\prime}$ the vector of the first $n-1$ components of $z$. In what follows, we assign weights $\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}, 1$ to the variables

[^0]$z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}, z_{n}$, respectively, and denote by $w t(K):=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_{j}}{2 m_{j}}$ the weight of an $(n-1)$-tuple $K=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-1}$.

A real-valued polynomial $P$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ is called a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) (or simply $\left(1 / m_{1}, \ldots, 1 / m_{n-1}\right)$-homogeneous), if

$$
P\left(t^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}\right)=t P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \text { for all } z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \text { and } t>0
$$

In the case when $m=m_{1}=\ldots=m_{n-1}$, then $P$ is called homogeneous of degree $m$. What is more, we note that if $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\left(1 / m_{1}, \ldots, 1 / m_{n-1}\right)$-homogeneous polynomial, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)+w t(L)=1} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} \bar{z}^{-L} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$ (see Corollary 1 in Sect. 3).
In this paper, we establish an explicit description for the automorphism group of a finite multitype (in the sense of Catlin) model in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ which is defined by

$$
M_{P}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0\right\}
$$

where $P$ is a real-valued weighted homogeneous plurisubharmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ without harmonic terms. The finite multitype hypersurface $\partial M_{P}$ was defined as a model hypersurface associated to a point of finite Catlin's multitype (see [16]). Moreover, the Lie algebra of all germs of infinitesimal automorphisms of $\partial M_{P}$ at 0 was explicitly described by Kolar et al. [17] (see also [18]).

The Catlin's multitype has attracted considerable attention, largely due to the invariant property under biholomorphic mappings and the global regularity issue on the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem (cf. [5,6]). For the comparison with other well-known finite type conditions, we refer to $[7,25,26]$ and the references therein. To elaborate our motivation focused on the biholomorphic equivalence problem of the model $M_{P}$, we selectively present the following historical background: As a local version of a result by Bedford and Pinchuk [2], it is a well-known result of Gaussier [10] that if a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is convex of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ Angelo finite type near a boundary orbit accumulation point, then $\Omega$ is biholomorphically equivalent to a rigid polynomial domain (see [10, Theorem 1]). Recently, a characterization of finite multitype models was also established by Rong and Zhang in [21]. For the case when $\Omega$ is strongly pseudoconvex, Wong [24] and Rosay [22] showed that every bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with non-compact automorphism group is biholomorphically equivalent to the complex unit ball. In addition, when $n=2$, the associated automorphism group of the model $M_{P}$ was completely determined in [19].

We also consider two special classes of domains $D_{P}$ and $Q_{P}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{P} & :=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<1\right\} \\
Q_{P} & :=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)=w t(L)=1 / 2} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} \bar{z}^{-L}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$.
We note that $D_{P}$ is bounded if and only if $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$ (cf. [13] and Lemma 6). Moreover, if $D_{P}$ is bounded, then the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ is non-compact since it contains $\left\{\phi_{a, \theta}: a \in \Delta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, where $\phi_{a, \theta}$ is defined by

$$
\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 m_{1}}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, e^{i \theta} \frac{z_{n}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{n}}\right)
$$

where $a \in \Delta:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Lemma 7 in Sect. 4). As we can see in the proof of Lemma 7, the weighted homogeneity of $P$ and the special form (2) allow us to obtain that $\phi_{a, \theta} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$.

Our first aim is to prove that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ is exactly generated by the set of all above automorphisms and $G_{P}$, where $G_{P}$ is the set of all automorphisms of the form $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(A z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$, where $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ is a block diagonal matrix with the condition that each $A_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ is an invertible $\left(i_{j}-i_{j-1}\right) \times\left(i_{j}-i_{j-1}\right)$ matrix and $P\left(A z^{\prime}\right) \equiv P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, for integers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ such that

$$
m_{1}=\ldots=m_{i_{1}}>\ldots>m_{i_{j-1}+1}=\ldots=m_{i_{j}}>\ldots>m_{i_{k-1}+1}=\ldots=m_{i_{k}}=m_{n-1}
$$

Our first main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1 Let $P$ be a real-valued weighted homogeneous plurisubharmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ given by (2) with a further assumption that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ is generated by $G_{P}$ and $\left\{\phi_{a, \theta}: a \in \Delta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$.

We sketch briefly the main ideas for the proof of Theorem 1 as follows. The positive assumption on $P$ on the set $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$ implies that $D_{P}$ is bounded and $\left|z_{n}\right|<1$ on $D_{P}$; hence, the $n$-th component of $\phi_{a, \theta}$ is contained in the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. In addition, we note that any automorphism of $D_{P}$ can be smoothly extended to the boundary (see [3]). Then the above two facts imply that for any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$, the restriction mapping $\left.f\right|_{D_{P} \cap\left\{z^{\prime}=0\right\}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$, where $\Delta$ is the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. More precisely, the $n$-th component of $f$ is of the following form:

$$
f_{n}(z)=f_{n}\left(0^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)=e^{i \theta_{n}} \frac{z_{n}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{n}}
$$

where $a \in \Delta$ and $\theta_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Replacing $f$ by $\phi_{-a,-\theta_{n}} \circ f$, we may assume that $f(0)=0$. Then Lemma 8 in Sect. 4 induced from the weighted homogeneity of the polynomial $P$, implies that $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ with $f(0)=0$ must be linear, that is, $f \in G_{P}$; thus this concludes the proof of Theorem 1 .

Furthermore, we note that $D_{P}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $Q_{P}$, where

$$
Q_{P}:=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0\right\}
$$

provided that $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$ given by (2) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$ (cf. Theorem 5 in Sect. 4). Consequently, the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{P}\right)$ is exactly generated by the translations $T_{t}$ given by $T_{t}(z)=\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}+i t\right)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}, G_{P}$, and the set of all biholomorphisms of the following form:

$$
\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{(\alpha)^{1 / 2 m_{1}}}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, \frac{(\alpha)^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}}}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, \frac{\alpha z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}\right)
$$

where $\alpha>0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
Next we discuss our second main result concerning a description for the automorphism group of a finite multitype model. First of all, we recall the definition of WB-domain introduced by Ahn et al. (cf. [1]). A domain $M_{P}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called a WBdomain (meaning "weighted-bumped") if

$$
M_{P}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0\right\}
$$

where
(i) $P$ is a real-valued, weighted homogeneous polynomial on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ );
(ii) $M_{P}$ is strongly pseudoconvex at every boundary point outside the set $\left\{\partial M_{P} \cap\right.$ $\left.\left(\left\{0^{\prime}\right\} \times i \mathbb{R}\right)\right\}$.

It was also established in [1, Corollary 4.3] that every boundary point of WBdomain $M_{P}$ admits a peak function for $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right)$, where $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right):=\left\{f: M_{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right.$ : $f$ is holomorphic $\}$. Consequently, its Kobayashi and Bergman metrics are moreover complete (see $[1,11]$ ). In addition, there also exists a peak function at infinity for $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right)$ (cf. Remark 1 in Sect. 2). We especially pay attention to the so-called generic model which is not biholomorphically equivalent to any rotational model or to any tubular model (cf. Definitions 2 and 3 in Sect. 5). Let $S_{\lambda}(\lambda>0)$ and $T_{S}(s \in \mathbb{R})$ be automorphisms of $M_{P}$ defined, respectively, by

$$
S_{\lambda}(z)=\left(\lambda^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}, \lambda z_{n}\right) ; T_{s}(z)=\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}+i s\right)
$$

With the above notations, our second main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 2 Let $M_{P}$ be a generic model satisfying that $M_{P}$ is not biholomorphically equivalent to $Q_{P}$ and $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. If $M_{P}$ is a WB-domain, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$ is generated by

$$
\left\{T_{t}, S_{\lambda}: t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0\right\} \cup G_{P} .
$$

The condition that $P$ is positive on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$ plays a substantial role in proving Theorem 2, namely, it is an essential condition of Theorem 6 as a crucial technical lemma for the proof of Theorem 2. Thanks to this condition, the $n$-th component of any automorphism of $M_{P}$ can be written as a Möbius transformation. Combining this
fact with the invariance of $\overline{M_{P}}$ under any dilation $S_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda>0$ and comparison of the weighted orders of terms, an explicit form of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$ can be described completely.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Sect. 2 we recall the concept of the Catlin's multitype and the existence of a peak function at infinity for $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right)$ is also given. In Sect. 3, we give some basics on weighted homogeneous polynomials. Then, explicit descriptions for $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ are given in Sect. 4. Finally, we shall prove Theorem 2 in detail; several examples are also investigated in Sect. 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Catlin's Multitype

For the convenience of the exposition, let us recall Catlin's multitype (for more details, we refer to $[6,25]$ and the references therein). Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\rho$ be a defining function for $\Omega$ near $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Let us denote by $\Lambda^{n}$ the set of all $n$-tuples of numbers $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ such that
(i) $1 \leq \mu_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \mu_{n} \leq+\infty$;
(ii) For each $j$, either $\mu_{j}=+\infty$ or there is a set of non-negative integers $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j}$ with $k_{j}>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{j} \frac{k_{s}}{\mu_{s}}=1
$$

A weight $\mu \in \Lambda^{n}$ is called distinguished if there exist holomorphic coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ about $z_{0}$ with $z_{0}$ maps to the origin such that

$$
D^{\alpha} \bar{D}^{\beta} \rho\left(z_{0}\right)=0 \text { whenever } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}}{\mu_{i}}<1
$$

Here $D^{\alpha}$ and $\bar{D}^{\beta}$ denote the partial differential operators

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}} \text { and } \frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \ldots \partial \bar{z}_{n}^{\beta_{n}}},
$$

respectively.
Definition 1 The multitype $\mathcal{M}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is defined to be the smallest weight $\mathcal{M}=$ ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ ) in $\Lambda^{n}$ (smallest in the lexicographic sense) such that $\mathcal{M} \geq \mu$ for every distinguished weight $\mu$.

### 2.2 Peak Function at Infinity for $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right)$

Recently, G. Herbort proved the following result.

Theorem 3 (Lemma 3.3 in [12]) On a WB-domain $M_{P}$ there exist a zero-free holomorphic function $F_{\infty}$ and constants $L_{*}>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
(i) $-\pi / 8 \leq \arg \sqrt[N]{F_{\infty}} \leq \pi / 8$;
(ii) $L_{*}^{-1} \hat{\sigma}(z) \leq\left|F_{\infty}(z)\right| \leq L_{*} \hat{\sigma}(z)$;
(iii) $1 / 2\left(L_{*}^{-1} \hat{\sigma}(z)\right)^{1 / N} \leq 1 / 2\left|F_{\infty}(z)\right|^{1 / N} \leq \operatorname{Re} \sqrt[N]{F_{\infty}(z)} \leq\left(L_{*} \hat{\sigma}(z)\right)^{1 / N}$, where $\hat{\sigma}(z):=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2 m_{j}}+\left|z_{n}\right|$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Remark 1 The function $\varphi(z):=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt[N]{F_{\infty}(z)}}\right)$ is a peak function at infinity for $\mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right)$ in the sense that $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}\left(M_{P}\right),|\varphi(z)|<1$ for every $z \in M_{P}$ and $\lim _{M_{P} \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z)=1$.

## 3 Polynomial of Weighted Homogeneous

In this section, we introduce some basic properties of weighted homogeneous polynomials. First of all, Fu et al. [8] proved the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ([8]) Let $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-function in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$. Suppose that there exist $k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, r$, such that

$$
f\left(t^{1 / k_{1}} x_{1}, \ldots, t^{1 / k_{r}} x_{r}\right)=t f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq t \leq 1+\epsilon$. Then $f$ has the form of the following

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=\sum_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}} b_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}} x_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots x_{r}^{l_{r}}
$$

where $b_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}} \in \mathbb{R}$, and the sum is taken over all $r$-tuples $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}\right), l_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, l_{j} \geq 0$, such that $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{l_{j}}{k_{j}}=1$.

From Lemma 1, one can easily establish the following.
Corollary 1 If $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$, then

$$
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)+w t(L)=1} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} \bar{z}^{\prime} L, \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1},
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$.
Now we prepare one more lemma which is known as Euler's identity for weighted homogeneous polynomials as follows.

Lemma 2 If $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}} \frac{z_{j}}{2 m_{j}}=P\left(z^{\prime}\right), \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this lemma easily follows from the weighted homogeneity condition of $P$, and we omit it.
Notice that any WB-domain is of D'Angelo finite type. Consequently, its boundary is variety-free at any boundary point, and hence the set $\{P=0\}$ contains no non-trivial analytic set passing through the origin. The following lemma assures the uniqueness of Euler's identity for non-degenerate weighted homogeneous polynomials.

Lemma 3 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) given by (1) such that $\{P=0\}$ contains no non-trivial analytic set passing through the origin. If there exist $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{j} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}} \frac{z_{j}}{2 m_{j}}=P\left(z^{\prime}\right), \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\alpha_{1}=\ldots=\alpha_{n-1}=1$.
Proof Suppose that there exist $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (4) holds. Then, from Lemma 2 we immediately have

$$
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}} \frac{z_{j}}{2 m_{j}}=P\left(z^{\prime}\right), \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}
$$

Hence, combining this fact with (4) one gets

$$
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\alpha_{j}\right) \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}} \frac{z_{j}}{2 m_{j}}=0, \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} ;
$$

this relation yields $\alpha_{1}=\ldots=\alpha_{n-1}=1$ since the set $\{P=0\}$ contains no non-trivial analytic set passing through the origin, as desired.

Note that Kim and the first author in [14, Lemma 4] proved that $\operatorname{Re}(i z R(z))=0$ if and only if $R(z)=R(|z|)$ provided that $R \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\Delta_{\epsilon}\right)$ for some $\epsilon>0$, where $\Delta_{\epsilon}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<\epsilon\}$. The following lemma generalizes this result to the case of weighted homogeneous polynomials.

Lemma 4 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$. Then

$$
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} i \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}} \frac{z_{j}}{2 m_{j}}=0, \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1},
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)=w t(L)=1 / 2} a_{K L} z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{-\prime^{L}}, \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$.
Proof For the proof of "only if" part, it suffices to prove the assertion for $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=$ $a z^{\prime I} \bar{z}^{\prime} J+\bar{a} z^{\prime J} \bar{z}^{\prime \prime}\left(a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, where $I, J$ are $(n-1)$-tuples with $w t(I)+w t(J)=1$. Indeed, since

$$
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{z_{k}}{2 m_{k}}=0, \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{i_{k}}{2 m_{k}}\right) 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(i a z^{\prime I}{\overline{z^{\prime}}}^{J}\right)+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{j_{k}}{2 m_{k}}\right) 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(i \bar{a} z^{\prime J} \bar{z}^{\prime} I\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{i_{k}}{2 m_{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{j_{k}}{2 m_{k}}\right) 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(i a z^{\prime I} \bar{z}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. This yields

$$
w t(I)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{i_{k}}{2 m_{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{j_{k}}{2 m_{k}}=w t(J)
$$

or

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(i a z^{\prime I} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)=0, \forall z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}
$$

The first case indicates that the conclusion holds. Then the conclusion follows immediately since we must have $I=J$ in the latter case.

The proof of "if" part directly follows from differentiating both sides of (5) with respect to $z_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$. This completes the proof of this lemma.

In the remaining of this section, we shall recall some known results on the holomorphic extension of a biholomorphism to a neighborhood of a given boundary point, and then we prove a key lemma which will be used in proving Theorem 2. First of all, we define the cluster set as follows. If $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N}$ is a holomorphic function on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $z_{0} \in \partial D$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ the cluster set of $f$ at $z_{0}$ :

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{N}: w=\lim f\left(z_{j}\right), z_{j} \in D, \text { and } \lim z_{j}=z_{0}\right\}
$$

A. B. Sukhov [23] proved the following:

Lemma 5 (See Corollary 1.4 in [23]) Suppose that $D$ and $G$ are $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-smooth domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Suppose that $D$ and $G$ are pseudoconvex of finite type near $z_{0} \in \partial D$ and $w_{0} \in \partial G$, respectively. Let $f$ be a biholomorphic mapping from $D$ onto $G$ such that $w_{0} \in \mathcal{C}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$. Then $f$ and $f^{-1}$ extend smoothly to $\partial D$ in some neighborhoods of the points $z_{0}$ and $w_{0}$, respectively.

Concerning proper holomorphic maps between bounded domains, we recall the following theorem given in [20, Theorem 2']

Theorem 4 Let $D, D^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be bounded domains and let $f: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ be a proper holomorphic map such that $f$ extends as a holomorphic correspondence to a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ of a point $a \in \partial D$. Suppose that $\partial D \cap U, \partial D^{\prime} \cap U^{\prime}$ are real analytic hypersurfaces of finite type, where $U^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a neighborhood of $f(a) \in \partial D^{\prime}$. Then $f$ extends holomorphically to a (possibly smaller) neighborhood of $a \in \partial D$.

As a generalization of a result in [4, Lemma 3.2] considered in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, we have the following proposition in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ which is a main ingredient in proving Theorem 2.

Proposition 1 Let $M_{P}$ and $M_{Q}$ be two WB-domains. Suppose that $\psi: M_{P} \rightarrow M_{Q}$ is a biholomorphism. Then there exist $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_{0} \in \partial M_{Q}$ such that $\psi$ and $\psi^{-1}$ extend to be holomorphic in neighborhoods of $\left(0, i t_{0}\right)$ and $z_{0}$, respectively.

Proof Thanks to Remark 1, there exists a holomorphic function $\phi$ on $M_{Q}$ which is continuous on $\overline{M_{Q}}$ such that $|\phi|<1$ for $z \in M_{Q}$ and tends to 1 at infinity. Let $\psi: M_{P} \rightarrow M_{Q}$ be a biholomorphism. We claim that there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow 0^{-}}\left|\psi\left(0^{\prime}, x+i t_{0}\right)\right|<+\infty$. Indeed, if this would not be the case, the function $\phi \circ \psi$ would be equal to 1 on the half plane $\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}<0, z^{\prime}=0\right\}$ and this is impossible since $|\phi|<1$ for $|z| \gg 1$. Therefore, we may assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ such that $x_{k}<0, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=0$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(0^{\prime}, x_{k}+i t_{0}\right)=z_{0} \in$ $\partial M_{Q}$. Hence, the conclusion follows from Lemma 5 and Theorem 4.

## 4 Automorphism Groups of $D_{P}$ and $Q_{P}$

This section is devoted to the explicit descriptions for the automorphism groups of $D_{P}$ and $Q_{P}$, where $D_{P}$ and $Q_{P}$ are, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{P}:=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<1\right\} \\
& Q_{P}:=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)=w t(L)=1 / 2} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} z^{-, L}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$.
It is well-known that if $D_{P}$ is bounded, then $P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ (cf. [13]). Moreover, we have the following lemma, which is a generalization of this fact.

Lemma 6 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) given by (1). Then, the domain $\widetilde{D}_{P}$, defined by

$$
\widetilde{D}_{P}:=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<1\right\},
$$

is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ if and only if $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof Let $P$ be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) given by (1). First of all, we shall prove the "only if" part of the lemma. Suppose that $\widetilde{D}_{P}$ is bounded. Then, one can show that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$ : suppose otherwise. Then, there exists a point $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$. Since $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$, it follows that

$$
P\left(t^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}\right)=t P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \leq 0, \forall t>0
$$

Then, this yields $\left(t^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}, 0\right) \in \widetilde{D}_{P}$ for all $t>0$, which contradicts the boundedness of $\widetilde{D}_{P}$. Hence, we obtain $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$.

Next, we shall prove the "if" part of the lemma. Suppose that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, since $\widetilde{D}_{P} \subset\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: 0 \leq P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<1 ;\left|z_{n}\right|<1\right\}$, it suffices to show that the domain $\left\{z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}: 0<P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<1\right\}$ is bounded. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{z^{\prime k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset\left\{z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}: 0<\right.$ $\left.P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<1\right\}$ such that $z^{\prime k}:=\left(z_{1}^{k}, \ldots, z_{n-1}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Choose a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that each $t_{k}$ is positive and $\left\|\left(t_{k}^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}^{k}, \ldots, t_{k}^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}^{k}\right)\right\|=1$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Notice that $t_{k} \rightarrow 0^{+}$as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, since $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$, it follows that

$$
P\left(t_{k}^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}^{k}, \ldots, t_{k}^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}^{k}\right)=t_{k} P\left(z_{1}^{k}, \ldots, z_{n-1}^{k}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which is absurd since $P$ is continuous on the sphere $\left\{z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}:\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|=1\right\}$.
Altogether, the proof of this lemma is complete.

We note that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ is non-compact by virtue of the following lemma.
Lemma 7 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) given by (6) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ contains the following automorphisms $\phi_{a, \theta}$ defined by

$$
\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 m_{1}}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, e^{i \theta} \frac{z_{n}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{n}}\right)
$$

where $a \in \Delta$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof Indeed, a direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z_{n}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{n}}\right|^{2}-1 & =\frac{\left|z_{n}-a\right|^{2}-\left|1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+|a|^{2}-1-|a|^{2}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}-1\right)\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $P$ has the form as in (6), it follows that

$$
P\left(\tilde{\phi}_{a, \theta}(z)\right)=\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{a} z_{n}\right|^{2}} P\left(z^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\phi_{a, \theta}(z)=\left(\tilde{\phi}_{a, \theta}(z),\left(\phi_{a, \theta}\right)_{n}(z)\right)$. Therefore, one can deduce that

$$
\left|\left(\phi_{a, \theta}\right)_{n}(z)\right|^{2}-1+P\left(\tilde{\phi}_{a, \theta}(z)\right)<0
$$

if and only if

$$
\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}-1+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0
$$

Hence, the conclusion can be derived easily from the previous relation.
In what follows, let $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ be integers such that $m_{1}=\ldots=m_{i_{1}}>\ldots>$ $m_{i_{j-1}+1}=\ldots=m_{i_{j}}>\ldots>m_{i_{k-1}+1}=\ldots=m_{i_{k}}=m_{n-1}$. Denote by $G_{P}$ the set of all automorphisms of the form $\left(A z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$, where $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ is an invertible block diagonal matrix such that each $A_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ is an $\left(i_{j}-i_{j-1}\right) \times\left(i_{j}-i_{j-1}\right)$ matrix and $P\left(A z^{\prime}\right) \equiv P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. In addition, denote by $h_{s}(z)$ a germ at the origin of holomorphic functions with weighted order greater than $s(s>0)$.

Before proceeding further, we now prepare a crucial technical lemma for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.
Lemma 8 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) given by (1) such that $\{P=0\}$ contains no non-trivial analytic set passing through the origin. Let $\tilde{f}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)$ be a biholomorphism on a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with $\tilde{f}(0)=0$. If $P\left(f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ for all $z^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, then $\tilde{f}$ can be extended to a linear mapping on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, and moreover the mapping $f\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right):=\left(\tilde{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right), z_{n}\right)$ belongs to $G_{P}$.

Proof Let $P$ be a weighted homogeneous polynomial as above such that

$$
P\left(f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $z^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Let us denote by $U(0)$ such a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{n-1}
$$

Moreover, since $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $m_{n-1}$ ), it follows that
$P\left(f_{1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}\right)\right)=t P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$
for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$.
Now we shall prove that $d f=$ Id at the origin. Let us consider the two following cases:
Case $1 m_{1}>m_{2}>\ldots>m_{n-1}$. Fix a point $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. Then, since $t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}}>t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{2}}}>$ $\ldots>t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}}$ for any $t \in(0,1)$, one has for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$

$$
f_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=a_{j, j} z_{j}+h_{1 / 2 m_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} \neq 0$. Recall that $h_{s}(z)$ denotes a germ at the origin of holomorphic functions with weighted order greater than $s$. Then Eq. (7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} a_{1,1} z_{1}+o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}}\right), \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}+o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}}\right)\right)=t P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. Dividing both sides of (8) by $t$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}+o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}}\right) / t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}+o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}}\right) / t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}}\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. Now, by evaluating the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$of the left-hand side of (9), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}, a_{2,2} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. A similar argument for $f^{-1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(a_{1,1}^{-1} z_{1}, a_{2,2}^{-1} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1}^{-1} z_{n-1}\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. For a fixed point $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, choose a $t>0$ sufficiently small so that

$$
\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}\right) \in U(0)
$$

Therefore, by (10) and (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}\right) & =P\left(t^{\frac{1}{t^{2 m_{1}}}} a_{1,1} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}\right) \\
& =P\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} a_{1,1}^{-1} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} a_{n-1, n-1}^{-1} z_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $m_{n-1}$ ), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) & =P\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}\right) \\
& =P\left(a_{1,1}^{-1} z_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1}^{-1} z_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$
\varphi(z):=\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}, a_{2,2} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right)
$$

is an automorphism of $M_{P}$, that is, $\varphi \in G_{P}$. Replacing $f$ by $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, one may assume that $a_{1,1}=\ldots=a_{n-1, n-1}=1$. Thus, we obtain $d f=\mathrm{Id}$ at the origin.

Case $2 m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{n-1}$. Following Case 1, one can write $f(z)=$ $\left(A z^{\prime}+g\left(z^{\prime}\right), z_{n}\right)$, where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that each $g_{j}$ has weighted order greater than $1 / 2 m_{j}$, $j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Collecting the terms of weighted order 1 , (7) yields the mapping $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(A z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$ which belongs to $G_{P}$. Therefore, after taking a composition with $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(A^{-1} z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$, we may assume that $d f=\mathrm{Id}$ at the origin.
Now our goal is to prove that $f=\mathrm{Id}$. Indeed, we may assume that $\tilde{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime}+g\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, i.e., for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$
f_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z_{j}+g_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that each $g_{j}$ has weighted order greater than $1 / 2 m_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(z_{1}+g_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right), z_{2}+g_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right), \ldots, z_{n-1}+g_{n-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. Since $\{P=0\}$ contains no non-trivial analytic set passing through the origin, comparison of the weighted orders of terms in (12) shows that $g_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv$ $g_{n-1} \equiv 0$ on $U(0)$. Hence, by the Identity Theorem, we conclude that $f=\mathrm{Id}$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.
Proof (Proof of Theorem 1) Let $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$ be arbitrary. Then, since $D_{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a bounded pseudoconvex domain of finite type, $f$ extends smoothly to $\overline{D_{P}}$ (see [3]). Therefore, the points $\left(0^{\prime}, e^{i \theta}\right)$ are preserved by $f$. Thus, $f_{j}\left(0^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \equiv 0$ for $j=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$ and $\left.f\right|_{D_{P} \cap\left\{z^{\prime}=0\right\}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$, where $\Delta$ is the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it follows that

$$
f_{n}(z)=f_{n}\left(0^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)=e^{i \theta_{n}} \frac{z_{n}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{n}}
$$

for some $a \in \Delta$ and $\theta_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, we have $f(0)=\left(0^{\prime},-a\right)$ (up to a rotation in the $z_{n}$-direction). Replacing $f$ by $\phi_{-a,-\theta_{n}} \circ f$, we may assume that $f(0)=0$. This yields

$$
f_{n}(z)=e^{i \theta_{n}} z_{n}
$$

Moreover, since $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{P}\right)$, we get

$$
\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+P\left(f_{1}(z), \ldots, f_{n-1}(z)\right) \leq 1
$$

if and only if $\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$. A direct computation together with the invariance of the boundary $\partial D_{P}$ under biholomorphisms shows that $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ are independent of $z_{n}$ and holomorphic in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Moreover, we get

$$
P\left(f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $z^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Thus it follows from Lemma 8 that $f \in G_{P}$ which completes the proof.

The following theorem is essentially well-known (cf. [2]).
Theorem 5 Let $P$ be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots$, $\left.m_{n-1}\right)$ given by (6) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, $D_{P}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $Q_{P}$.

Now we shall compute the $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{P}\right)$, where

$$
Q_{P}:=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0\right\}
$$

where $P$ is given by (6) and $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. We give at first the following lemma which can be derived easily from a straightforward computation.

Proposition 2 Let $P$ be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots$, $\left.m_{n-1}\right)$ given by (6) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{P}\right)$ contains the automorphisms $f_{\alpha, \beta}, \alpha>0$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{(\alpha)^{1 / 2 m_{1}}}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, \frac{(\alpha)^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}}}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, \frac{\alpha z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}\right)
$$

Conversely, if $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$ contains the automorphism $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then $M_{P}$ is exactly $Q_{P}$. More precisely, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 3 Let $P$ be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.m_{n-1}\right)$ given by (1) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$ contains the following automorphisms $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ defined by

$$
\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{(\alpha)^{1 / 2 m_{1}}}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, \frac{(\alpha)^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}}}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, \frac{\alpha z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}\right)
$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Then, the polynomial $P$ always has the following form:

$$
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)=w t(L)=1 / 2} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} z^{-\nu},
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$.
Proof Since $f_{\alpha, \beta} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}+P\left(\frac{1}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\left(1+i \beta z_{n}\right)^{1 / m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}\right)=0
$$

for all $z \in \partial M_{P}$. This is equivalent to
$\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{n}-i \beta z_{n}^{2}+\ldots\right)+P\left(z_{1}-\frac{i \beta z_{n} z_{1}}{m_{1}}+\ldots, \ldots, z_{n-1}-\frac{i \beta z_{n} z_{n-1}}{m_{n-1}}+\ldots\right)=0$
for all $z \in \partial M_{P}$, where the dots denote terms of weight greater than 2. By expanding $P$ into Taylor series, one has

$$
\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n}^{2}\right)+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \frac{z_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)+\ldots=0
$$

for all $z \in \partial M_{P}$, where the dots denote terms of weight greater than 2 . Therefore, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n}^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \frac{z_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)=0
$$

for all $z \in \partial M_{P}$. Moreover, if we let $z_{n}=-P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \frac{z_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)=0
$$

for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. In conclusion, Lemma 4 ensures that

$$
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)=w t(L)=1 / 2} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} \bar{z}^{\prime} L
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$.

## 5 Automorphisms of a Finite Multitype Model

In this section, we provide the proof of Theorem 2 as our second main result. First of all, we recall some notations and definitions. Let $S_{\lambda}(\lambda>0), T_{s}(s \in \mathbb{R})$ be automorphisms of $M_{P}$ which are defined, respectively, by

$$
S_{\lambda}(z)=\left(\lambda^{1 / 2 m_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{1 / 2 m_{n-1}} z_{n-1}, \lambda z_{n}\right) ; T_{S}(z)=\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}+i s\right)
$$

Definition 2 A model $M_{P}$ is called tubular (resp. rotational) if $M_{P}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to a model $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{P}$ P , where a weighted homogeneous polynomial $\widetilde{P}$ satisfies $\widetilde{P}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)=\widetilde{P}\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\widetilde{P}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)=$ $\left.\widetilde{P}\left(\left|z_{1}\right|, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)\right)$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

Definition 3 A model $M_{P}$ is called generic if it is not biholomorphically equivalent to any rotational model or to any tubular model.

By expanding $P$ into Taylor series at $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(z^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{w t(K)+w t(L)=1} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} \bar{z}^{-L} \\
& =P(\alpha)+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{|p|>0} \frac{D^{p} P(\alpha)}{p!}\left(z^{\prime}-\alpha\right)^{p}+\sum_{|p|,|q|>0} \frac{D^{p} \bar{D}^{q} P(\alpha)}{p!q!}\left(z^{\prime}-\alpha\right)^{p}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}-\bar{\alpha}\right)^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^{p}$ and $\bar{D}^{q}$ denote the partial differential operators

$$
\frac{\partial^{|p|}}{\partial z_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}} \text { and } \frac{\partial^{|q|}}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}^{q_{1}} \ldots \partial \bar{z}_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}}
$$

respectively. By the following change of variables

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{n}=z_{n}+P(\alpha)+2 \sum_{|p|>0} \frac{D^{p} P(\alpha)}{p!}\left(z^{\prime}-\alpha\right)^{p} \\
w^{\prime}=z^{\prime}-\alpha
\end{array}\right.
$$

a defining function for $M_{P}$ is now given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(z)= & \operatorname{Re} w_{n}+\sum_{|p|,|q|>0} \frac{D^{p} \bar{D}^{q} P(\alpha)}{p!q!}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(\bar{w}^{\prime}\right)^{q} \\
& =\operatorname{Re} w_{n}+\sum_{|p|,|q|>0 ;} \sum_{w t(p)+w t(q)<1} \frac{D^{p} \bar{D}^{q} P(\alpha)}{p!q!}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(\bar{w}^{\prime}\right)^{q} \\
& +\sum_{|p|,|q|>0 ;} \sum_{w t(p)+w t(q)=1} \frac{D^{p} \bar{D}^{q} P(\alpha)}{p!q!}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(\bar{w}^{\prime}\right)^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows, we assume that $M_{P}$ is generic. Moreover, we introduce the notation

$$
P_{2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}}\left(\partial M_{P}\right):=\left\{z \in \partial M_{P}: \mathcal{M}(z)=\left(2 m_{1}, 2 m_{2}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}, 1\right)\right\}
$$

and $\Gamma:=\left\{\left(0^{\prime}, i t\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$.

Lemma 9 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ ) given by (1) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Suppose that $M_{P}$ is generic. If at least one of the integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ is greater than 2 , then

$$
P_{2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}}\left(\partial M_{P}\right)=\Gamma:=\left\{\left(0^{\prime}, i t\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
$$

Proof It is easy to show that $\Gamma \subset P_{2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}}\left(\partial M_{P}\right)$. So, it suffices to show that $P_{2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}}\left(\partial M_{P}\right) \subset \Gamma$. Let $p=(\alpha,-P(\alpha)+i t)\left(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right) \neq 0\right)$ be any boundary point in $\partial M_{P} \backslash \Gamma$.

Note that by [6, Main Theorem, p. 531], we have

$$
\mathcal{M}(p) \leq\left(2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}, 1\right)
$$

Therefore, if $\mathcal{M}(p)=\left(2 m_{1}, \ldots, 2 m_{n-1}, 1\right)$, then

$$
D^{p} \bar{D}^{q} P(\alpha)=0 \text { whenever } w t(p)+w t(q)<1
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\alpha+z^{\prime}\right)= & P(\alpha)+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{|p|>0 ; w t(p) \leq 1} \frac{D^{p} P(\alpha)}{p!}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{p} \\
& +\sum_{\mid} p\left|,|q|>0 ; w t(p)+w t(q)=1 \frac{D^{p} \bar{D}^{q} P(\alpha)}{p!q!}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{q} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j, \bar{k}}\left(\alpha+z^{\prime}\right)=P_{j, \bar{k}}\left(z^{\prime}\right), j, k=1, \ldots, n-1, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j, \bar{k}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. By a change of coordinates, we may assume that $\alpha=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Fix $z_{\ell}$ for all $\ell \geq 2$ and let

$$
f(x, y)=P_{1, \overline{1}}\left(x+i y, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)
$$

for all $z_{1}:=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, it follows from (13) that $f(x+1, y)=f(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Hence, for each $y \in \mathbb{R} f(x, y)$ is a periodic polynomial in $x$, and thus $f(x, y)$ does not depend on $x$, i.e., $f(x, y)=g(y)$, where $g$ is a polynomial in $y$. Combining this fact with the assumption that $P$ has no harmonic terms, one can conclude that $P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)=P\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right)$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, and hence $M_{P}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to a tubular model. This leads to a contradiction and hence the proof is complete.

We now prepare the following theorem as one of the main ingredients in proving Theorem 2.

Theorem 6 Let P be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$ given by (1) such that $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Suppose that $M_{P}$ is a generic model which is not biholomorphically equivalent to $Q_{P}$. Suppose that $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$, $f(0)=0$ and there exist neighborhoods $U_{1}, U_{2}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $f$ extends to a local diffeomorphism between $U_{1} \cap \overline{M_{P}}$ and $U_{2} \cap \overline{M_{P}}$. Then after compositions with $S_{t}(t>0)$ or with an element of $G_{P}$ if necessary, $f=\mathrm{Id}$.
Proof Let us define a set $\mathcal{H}$ by setting $\mathcal{H}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} z<0\}$ and recall that $\Gamma:=$ $\left\{\left(0^{\prime}, i t\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Then we consecutively define $g_{j}\left(z_{n}\right):=f_{j}\left(0^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)(1 \leq j \leq n-1)$, and $g_{n}\left(z_{n}\right):=f_{n}\left(0^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$ for all $z_{n} \in \mathcal{H}$. Since the Catlin's multitype is a CR-invariant, it follows from Lemma 9 that, after shrinking the neighborhoods $U_{1}, U_{2}$ if necessary, we may assume that $f\left(U_{1} \cap \Gamma\right)=U_{2} \cap \Gamma$. Consequently, for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we have $g_{j}(i t)=0$ for all $-\epsilon_{0}<t<\epsilon_{0}$ with $\epsilon_{0}>0$ small enough. Then it follows from the Identity Theorem that $g_{j}\left(z_{n}\right)=0$ for all $z_{n} \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, since $P\left(z^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \backslash\{0\}$, we have $g_{n} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $g_{n}(0)=0$, one can show that $g_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{\alpha z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}$ for some $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, since $f_{n}\left(M_{P}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $f$ is biholomorphic, we immediately obtain $f_{n}(z)=f_{n}\left(0^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)=\frac{\alpha z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}$ for some $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now consider the following cases:
Case $1 \beta \neq 0$.
In this case, by expanding $f_{n}$ into Taylor series, one can obtain

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{\alpha z_{n}}{1+i \beta z_{n}}=\alpha z_{n}-i \beta \alpha z_{n}^{2}+\ldots,
$$

where the dots denote terms of weight greater than 2 . Moreover, due to the invariance of $\overline{M_{P}}$ under any map $S_{t}(t>0)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(f_{n}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+P\left(f_{1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \left.\quad \times\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in U_{1} \cap \overline{M_{P}}$ and $t \in(0,1)$. Therefore, (14) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha t z_{n}-i \beta \alpha t^{2} z_{n}^{2}+o\left(t^{2}\right)\right) \\
& +P\left(f_{1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in U_{1} \cap \overline{M_{P}}$ and $t \in(0,1)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{n-1}
$$

In what follows, denote by $h_{s}(z)$ a germ at the origin of holomorphic functions with weighted order greater than $s(s>0)$.

We shall prove that $d f=\mathrm{Id}$ at the origin, up to a composition with an element of $G_{P}$. To prove this, we divide the argument into the following two sub-cases:

Sub-case $1 m_{1}>m_{2}>\ldots>m_{n-1}$. Fix a point $z \in U_{1} \cap \partial M_{P}$. Then, since $\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{n}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right)\right)=\alpha t \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+o(t)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(f_{1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}} z_{n-1}, t z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =-\alpha t \operatorname{Re} z_{n}+o(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{1}}}>t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{2}}}>\ldots>t^{\frac{1}{2 m_{n-1}}}$ for any $t \in(0,1)$, one has for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$

$$
f_{j}(z)=a_{j, j} z_{j}+h_{1 / 2 m_{j}}(z)
$$

where $a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} \neq 0$.
Next, replacing $f$ by $S_{1 / \alpha} \circ f$, we may assume that $\alpha=1$. Taking the firstorder partial derivative of both sides of the inequality (14) with respect to $t$ and then evaluating its limit as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we arrive at

$$
\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}, a_{2,2} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}\right)<0
$$

for all $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in M_{P}$. A similar argument for $f^{-1}$ gives

$$
\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(a_{1,1}^{-1} z_{1}, a_{2,2}^{-1} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1}^{-1} z_{n-1}\right)<0
$$

for all $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in M_{P}$. Altogether, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}, a_{2,2} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}\right)<0
$$

if and only if $\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)<0$, and hence

$$
g(z):=\left(a_{1,1} z_{1}, a_{2,2} z_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1, n-1} z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right)
$$

is an automorphism of $M_{P}$, that is, $g \in G_{P}$. Replacing $f$ by $f \circ g^{-1}$, one may assume that $a_{1,1}=\ldots=a_{n-1, n-1}=1$. Thus, we obtain $d f=\mathrm{Id}$ at the origin.

Sub-case $2 m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{n-1}$. Following Sub-case 1, one can write $f(z)=$ $\left(A z^{\prime}+g(z), z_{n}\right)$, where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that each $g_{j}$ has weighted order greater than $1 / 2 m_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n-$ 1. Collecting the terms of weighted order 1 , (14) yields the mapping $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(A z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$ which belongs to $G_{P}$. Therefore, after taking a composition with $\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(A^{-1} z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)$, we may assume that $d f=\operatorname{Id}$ at the origin.

Now our goal is to prove that $f=\mathrm{Id}$. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose otherwise that $f \neq \mathrm{Id}$. We may assume that $f(z)=z+g(z)$, i.e., for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$
f_{j}=z_{j}+g_{j}(z),
$$

where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that each $g_{j}$ has weighted order greater than $1 / 2 m_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{n}-i \beta z_{n}^{2}+\ldots\right)+P\left(z_{1}+g_{1}(z), z_{2}+g_{2}(z), \ldots, z_{n-1}+g_{n-1}(z)\right)=0
$$

for all $z \in U_{1} \cap \partial M_{P}$, or equivalently

$$
\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n}^{2}\right)+P\left(z^{\prime}\right)+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) g_{j}(z)\right)+h_{2}(z)=0
$$

for all $z \in U_{1} \cap \partial M_{P}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n}^{2}\right)+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) g_{j}(z)\right)+h_{2}(z)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in U_{1} \cap \partial M_{P}$. (Here, we recall that $h_{2}(z)$ is a germ at the origin of holomorphic functions with weighted order greater than 2.)

Now if we set $z_{n}=-P\left(z^{\prime}\right)+i t$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $z_{n}^{2}=P^{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-t^{2}-2 i t P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, and hence $\operatorname{Re}\left(-i \beta z_{n}^{2}\right)=-2 \beta t P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. Substituting this into (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \beta t P\left(z^{\prime}\right)+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) g_{j}\left(z^{\prime},-P\left(z^{\prime}\right)+i t\right)\right)+h_{2}(z)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting the coefficients of $t^{k}$ in (16) equal zero for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that $g_{j}(z)=$ $a_{j} z_{n} z_{j}+\ldots$ for $j=1, \ldots, n-1$, where the dots indicate terms of higher weight. Differentiating the terms of weighted order 1 in (16) with respect to $t$ and then setting $t=0$, one gets

$$
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta} \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) i a_{j} z_{j}\right) .
$$

Therefore, according to Lemma 3, we should have $a_{j}=-i \beta / m_{j}$ for $j=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$. Collecting the terms of weighted order 1 in (16) at $t=0$ and then utilizing Lemma 4, we have

$$
P\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{w t(K)=w t(L)=1 / 2} a_{K L} z^{\prime K} z^{-\nu},
$$

where $a_{K L} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_{K L}=\bar{a}_{L K}$. Therefore, if $\beta \neq 0$, then $M_{P}$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $Q_{P}$, which leads to a contradiction.

Case $2 \beta=0$. In this case we immediately obtain $f_{n}(z)=\alpha z_{n}$ for some $\alpha>0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha=1$. Since $f$ can be smoothly extended to the boundary of $M_{P}$ (cf. [3]), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(f_{1}(z), \ldots, f_{n-1}(z)\right) \leq 0
$$

if and only if $\operatorname{Re} z_{n}+P\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$. We note that $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ are independent of the variable $z_{n}$ due to the invariance of the boundary under the actions of automorphism group. Furthermore, by Proposition $1, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ can be extended to holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. This yields

$$
P\left(f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=P\left(z^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $z^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Then it follows from Lemma 8 that $f \in G_{P}$, and thus the proof is complete.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.
Proof (Proof of Theorem 2) Let $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{P}\right)$ be arbitrary. Then, by Proposition 1, it follows that there exist $p \in \Gamma$ and $q \in \Gamma$ such that $f$ and $f^{-1}$ extend to be holomorphic in neighborhoods of $p$ and $q$, respectively, and $f(p)=q$. Replacing $f$ by its composition with reasonable translations $T_{t}$, we may assume that $p=q=(0,0)$, and there exist neighborhoods $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $(0,0)$ such that $U_{2} \cap \partial M_{P}=f\left(U_{1} \cap \partial M_{P}\right)$, and $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are holomorphic in $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, respectively. Moreover, $f$ is a local CR diffeomorphism between $U_{1} \cap \partial M_{P}$ and $U_{2} \cap \partial M_{P}$. Therefore, the assertion follows from Theorem 6.

We close this paper by exploring several known examples through our main theorems.

Example 1 Let $E_{1, m}$ be the ellipsoid

$$
E_{1, m}:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 m}<1\right\}, m \geq 2 .
$$

For the ellipsoid $E_{1, m}$, the polynomial $P$ is given by $P\left(z_{1}\right)=\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 m}$. Then $P\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right) \equiv P\left(z_{1}\right)$ if and only if $f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=e^{i \theta} z_{1}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, from Theorem 1 we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{1, m}\right)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{i \theta_{1}} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 m}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{2}\right)^{1 / m}} z_{1}, e^{i \theta_{2}} \frac{z_{2}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{2}}\right):|a|<1, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right\},
$$

which is already well-known.
Example 2 Consider the domain

$$
\Omega:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}:\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{4}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{4}+\left(\bar{z}_{2} z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}<1\right\} .
$$

In this case, the polynomial $P$ is given by $P\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left|z_{1}\right|^{4}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{4}+\left(\bar{z}_{2} z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}$. Then a direct computation shows that $P\left(A z^{\prime}\right) \equiv P\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $A z^{\prime}=e^{i \theta}\left(z_{2}, z_{1}\right)$
or $A z^{\prime}=e^{i \theta}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ is generated by

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}} z_{1}, \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}} z_{2}, \frac{z_{3}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{3}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{i \theta_{1}} z_{\sigma(1)}, e^{i \theta_{1}} z_{\sigma(2)}, e^{i \theta_{2}} z_{3}\right),
$$

where $a \in \Delta, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\sigma$ is a permutation of the set $\{1,2\}$. This result is already proved in [9].

Example 3 Let $\Omega_{H K N}$ be the Kohn-Nirenberg domain, introduced first in [15] and defined by

$$
\Omega_{H K N}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \operatorname{Re} w+|z|^{8}+\frac{15}{7}|z|^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{6}\right)<0\right\} .
$$

In this case, the polynomial $P$ is given by $P(z)=|z|^{8}+\frac{15}{7}|z|^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{6}\right)$. We see that $P$ is homogeneous of degree 8 and $P(f(z)) \equiv P(z)$ if and only if $f(z)=e^{k \pi i / 3} z$ for $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, 5\}$. Therefore, from Theorem 2 we have

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Omega_{H K N}\right)=\left\{(z, w) \mapsto\left(\sqrt[8]{\lambda} e^{k \pi i / 3} z, \lambda w+i t\right): k=0, \ldots, 5 ; t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0\right\}
$$

as shown in [19, Theorem 2].
Example 4 Let $E$ be the ellipsoid

$$
E:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}:\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{4}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{6}<1\right\} .
$$

For the ellipsoid $E$, the polynomial $P$ is given by $P\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left|z_{1}\right|^{4}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{6}$. Then $P\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) \equiv P\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ if and only if $f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=e^{i \theta_{1}} z_{1}, f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=e^{i \theta_{2}} z_{2}$ for some $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, from Theorem 1 we conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ includes

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{i \theta_{1}} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}} z_{1}, e^{i \theta_{2}} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{1 / 6}}{\left(1-\bar{a} z_{3}\right)^{1 / 3}} z_{2}, e^{i \theta_{3}} \frac{z_{3}-a}{1-\bar{a} z_{3}}\right)
$$

where $|a|<1, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$.
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