#### Cite this article

Dao PL, Bui VD, Onyelowe KC et al. (2022) Effect of metakaolin on the mechanical properties of lateritic soil. Geotechnical Research **9(4)**: 211–218, https://doi.org/10.1680/jgere.22.00046

## **Geotechnical Research**

Research Article Paper 2200046 Received 01/08/2022; Accepted 29/09/2022 Published online 18/10/2022 Published with permission by the ICE under the CC-BY 4.0 license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



# Effect of metakaolin on the mechanical properties of lateritic soil

#### Phuc-Lam Dao

Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Transport Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam; GGG Research Lab, Department of Civil Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria

#### Van-Duc Bui

Research Group of Geotechnical Engineering, Construction Materials, and Sustainability, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Hanoi University of Mining and Geology, Hanoi, Vietnam; GGG Research Lab, Department of Civil Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria (corresponding author: buivanduc@humg.edu.vn)

#### Kennedy C. Onyelowe

Research Group of Geotechnical Engineering, Construction Materials, and Sustainability, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Hanoi University of Mining and Geology, Hanoi, Vietnam; GGG Research Lab, Department of Civil Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria

#### Ahmed M. Ebid

Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Future University in Egypt, New Cairo, Egypt

#### Van Duong Le

Department of Science and Technology, Vietnam Ministry of Transport, Hanoi, Vietnam

#### Isiguzo E. Ahaneku

Department of Agricultural and Bioresources Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria

The effect of varying additions of metakaolin (MK) on the mechanical properties of lateritic soil to be utilised in the construction of pavement foundations was studied. The preliminary test results show that the soil is highly plastic and lacks sufficient mechanical ability to be used as a compacted subgrade/sub-base for flexible pavement foundation. Kaolin was calcinated to form MK, which was utilised at proportions of 3, 6, 9 and 12% by weight of the dry lateritic soil to stabilise it. California bearing ratio (CBR) and unconfined compressive strength (UCS) tests were conducted on the MK-treated lateritic soil to determine the suitability of the treated materials in the construction of compacted subgrade and/or sub-base layers of flexible pavement. The stabilisation test results show that MK has the potential to be utilised to improve the CBR and UCS of lateritic soil with a peak proportion of 6% MK by weight of dry soil, beyond which the soil experiences a decline in strength formation.

**Keywords:** consolidation/diffraction (X-ray)/laboratory tests/lateritic soil/metakaolin/pavement strength/stabilisation/sub-base/sustainable pavement

#### Introduction

Assessment of the geotechnical properties of lateritic soils (LSs), which are undoubtedly a fundamental soil type in terms of distribution and suitability for the civil engineering construction process, is essential since all civil engineering structures rest on them (Onyelowe et al., 2022). Significant research efforts have recently been made for sustainable sub-base and subgrade construction around smart application and utilisation of road construction materials for soil stabilisation to reduce the high cost and emission of greenhouse gases during pavement construction. Basically, undue collapse of national highway pavements, commonly occasioned by poor drainage leading to crack developments, as well as influenced by the joint action of traffic, environment and climate conditions, is primarily induced at the subgrade and sub-base layers (Abadin and Hayano, 2022). A highway pavement should perform optimally such that it reduces the stresses transmitted to the subgrade to a level that the soil will accept without significant deformation (Chindaprasirt et al., 2020; Maichin et al., 2021). An increase in soil strength, durability and stiffness and a reduction in soil plasticity and swelling or shrinkage potential are the benefits of soil stabilisation (Firoozi et al., 2017; Naeini et al., 2012). Soil stabilisation is the process of improving some soil properties using different techniques, such as mechanical or chemical ones, to produce an improved soil material with the desired engineering properties (Burra et al., 2021; Onyelowe et al., 2021a).

Soil stabilisation has had a long history, being earlier adopted in ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia, expanding to the use of lime by the Greeks and Romans, and today has globally included various agriculturally and industrially based wastes (Ardah *et al.*, 2016; Firoozi *et al.*, 2017; Jong *et al.*, 2022; Liu *et al.*, 2022). Be that as it may, conventional stabilisers such as lime and cement that are rich in calcium are usually not effective in regulating the shrink and swell behaviour of some soils due to the formation of ettringite, an expansive mineral (Khadka *et al.*, 2020). The use of industrially based wastes such as metakaolin (MK; Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·2SiO<sub>2</sub>), a product obtained after calcination of kaolin (Al<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>) in a furnace at a temperature of about 750–800°C, also provides a novel, smart and environment-friendly construction material and technique for sustainability (Jamal *et al.*, 2016; Muhammad *et al.*, 2020; Onyelowe *et al.*, 2021b).

Recent developments in sustainable sub-base and subgrade construction have heightened the need for proper examination of the mechanical effects of the incorporation of various soil stabilisers into the LS matrix. Etim *et al.* (2022) successfully utilised lime and periwinkle shell ash (PSA) under laboratory conditions in stabilising LS with a focus on confirming its potential for use as pavement layer material. Their study evaluated index properties, maximum dry density (MDD), optimum moisture content (OMC), California

bearing ratio (CBR) (soaked CBR (CBRS) and unsoaked CBR (CBRU)) and unconfined compressive strength (UCS) and reported an optimum performance at 8% lime and PSA inclusion. In a similar manner, Attah *et al.* (2021) optimised the CBR values of expansive soil treated with a cement kiln dust and MK blend based on the Scheffe optimisation method. Etim *et al.* (2021) maintained that the mechanical properties of cemented LS, particularly CBR and UCS, improve significantly with an increase in the micro-sized quarry dust stabiliser used.

Muhammad et al. (2020) assessed the geotechnical properties of LS treated with MK and also determined its optimum quantity that could be used as road construction material for cost-effectiveness and environmental friendliness. However, apart from mineralogical composition and physical properties, their study was limited to mechanical properties such as CBR, UCS and durability. Similarly, Attah et al. (2019) observed that MK at different percentages improved the strength properties of the treated soil such as CBR and UCS. Foda et al. (2018) noted that mixing 10% MK increases the shear strength of soil and decreases the hydraulic conductivity (HC) compared with those of untreated soil. Additionally, Kavya and Soorya (2020) made a comparison of the reductions in permeability between plain cement grout and MK-added cement grout for all several water-binder ratios. They noted that at 10% MK addition, the coefficient of permeability reduces to  $2.13 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s for a water-binder ratio of 7:3 at 7 days of curing. To investigate the MK effect on the HC of cement-stabilised soils, Deng et al. (2015) used their developed flexible wall permeameter and reported that there was a good correlation between the HC, void ratio and median throat pore diameter for each kind of material used for construction.

Overall, the research to date has tended to focus on very few mechanical properties rather than a more detailed scope such as combined study of UCS, CBR, HC and consolidation (C), which promises to provide a better understanding of the global influence of the stabilising agent used. The current study investigated the effect of MK on the mechanical properties of LS for subgrade and sub-base construction.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Preparation of materials**

LS and kaolin were collected from Umuoke, Obowo, Imo State, Nigeria, with the coordinates 5.5619° north, 7.4050° east. This is within a geographical region where natural kaolin is found in abundance. The LS was processed and dried for use in laboratory experiments. The kaolin was calcinated in an oven at a temperature of 650°C to form MK and stored in an airtight container to avoid absorption of atmospheric moisture. Figures 1 and 2 show the kaolin and MK samples, respectively.

## **Experimental methods**

Basic experimental tests were conducted on the soils, which included particle size distribution analysis, compaction, Atterberg limit tests, CBR tests, UCS tests, one-dimensional (1D)



Figure 1. Natural kaolin site at Umuoke, Obowo, Nigeria, with the coordinates 5.5619° north, 7.4050° east



Figure 2. Processed MK sample at a calcination temperature of  $650^{\circ}C$ 

consolidation tests, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) tests and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) tests (Figure 3). These tests were conducted in line with the requirements of BS 1377 (BSI, 2022). The MK was derived through a calcination process at a



**Figure 3.** Loading preparation and set-up for the 1D consolidation test: (a, b) soil sample in the consolidation cell, (c) loading regime

temperature of 650°C, which was characterised by loss of hydroxyl water from natural pozzolan known as kaolin, and the MK was further characterised based on the conditions of ASTM C 618 (ASTM, 2019) and BS 8615-1 (BSI, 2019) to determine its pozzolanic properties. The mechanical properties of the treated soil were studied by using CBR and UCS tests with MK mixed with the soil at proportions of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10% by weight of the dry LS. The stabilisation of the LS was conducted in line with the requirements of the British standard BS 1924-2 (BSI, 2018).

## **Results and discussion**

## **Characterisation of test materials**

The LS preliminary studies showed that it is an A-2-7 class of soil according to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (Aashto) classification system and a poorly graded clayey silt according to the Unified Soil Classification System. The LS has a liquid limit (LL) of 42.5%, a plastic limit (PL) of 15.3%, an MDD of  $1.77 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , an OMC of 23.4% and a percentage passing through a 0.075 mm sieve of 30%. This means also that the soil has a plasticity index of 27.2%, which indicates that the soil possesses very high plasticity (>17% according to material requirements). Figures 4 and 5 and Table 1 show these preliminary properties of the soil. Figures 6 and 7 and Table 2 show the 1D consolidation graphical behaviour and numerical properties of the LS. The compression index and swelling index of the soil are observed to be 0.9123 1311 6 and 0.0452 5656 2, respectively, while the coefficient of compressibility and the coefficient of volume



Figure 5. Compaction curve for the LS



Figure 4. Particle size distribution curve for the LS

## Table 1. Preliminary properties of the LS

Soil property	Passing 0.075 mm: %	MDD: g/cm <sup>3</sup>	OMC: %	LL: %	PL: %	$G_s$	Clay: %	Silt: %	Туре	Colour
Result	30	1.77	23.4	42.5	15.3	2.1	30	36.5	Clayey silt	Reddish



Figure 6. Compression curve for the LS



Figure 7. 1D consolidation curve for the LS

Table 2. Consolidation characteristics of the LS

Compression index, C <sub>c</sub>	Swelling index, C <sub>s</sub>	Coefficient of compressibility, <i>a</i> <sub>v</sub> : m <sup>2</sup> /kN	Coefficient of volume change, m <sub>v</sub> : m <sup>2</sup> /kN	
0.9123 1311 6	0.0452 5656 2	0.0024	0.0008 4433 6	

change are observed to be 0.0024 and  $0.000844336 \text{ m}^2/\text{kN}$ , respectively. These show that the soil has a sufficient swelling capacity to resist axial loads under hydraulic conditions. Figures 8–10 show the surface configurations of the LS and the



Figure 8. LS SEM



Figure 9. MK SEM at a calcination temperature of 650°C

MK used as supplementary cement in this stabilisation protocol to stabilise the LS and a micrograph of the MK at 650°C, which shows that quartz is the dominating mineral and the almost insignificant presence of kaolin and anatase between 10 and 20°  $2\theta$ . The results indicate the microstructural and mineralogical properties exhibited by MK. The LS shows recognisable pores and particle inconsistency and requires improvement. The XRF results presented in Table 3 reveal that the pozzolanic three-



Figure 10. MK X-ray diffraction at a calcination temperature of 650°C

Table 3.	Chemical	oxide	compositions	of the	test materials
----------	----------	-------	--------------	--------	----------------

	Compound: %									
	Aluminium oxide (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	Silicon dioxide (SiO <sub>2</sub> )	lron (III) oxide (Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Manganese (III) oxide (Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	Potassium oxide (K <sub>2</sub> O)	Sodium oxide (Na <sub>2</sub> O)	Calcium oxide (CaO)	Titanium dioxide (TiO <sub>2</sub> )	LOI
LS MK	29.45 33.24	26.42 44.75	31.50 7.51	7.05	2.1	1.5 0.73	 0.09	0.56 0.44	1.42 0.64	 12.56

LOI, loss on ignition

chemical capacity (i.e., the three dominating chemical oxides that constitute the pozzolanic ability of alternative binders) of MK improved from 67% as kaolin to 85.5% as MK at 650°C, meeting the requirement (>70%) for pozzolans being used as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) in infrastructural development (ASTM, 2019; BSI, 2019).

#### **CBR of MK-treated LS**

Figures 11 and 12 as well as Table 4 show the MK-treated LS CBR behaviour under unsoaked and soaked conditions subjected to 2.5 and 5.00 mm penetrations. In Figure 11, which shows the 7-day soaked condition of the MK-treated LS, there was a steady increase in the CBR of both the 2.5 and 5.00 mm penetrations with the addition of between 2 and 6% by weight of MK. However, this trend changed with the addition of beyond 6% by weight of MK. At the peak MK proportion, CBRs recorded were 17 and 28%, respectively, for the two penetration capacities. These satisfy the CBR values of 10–25% specified for treated subgrade soils by the Aashto standard, the Nigerian highway design manual by the Federal Ministry of Works and Housing



Figure 11. CBRS of MK-treated LS

(FMWH, 1997) and other highway and research specifications (Ojuri, 2016; TRRL, 1977).



Figure 12. CBRU of MK-treated LS

Table 4. CBR of MK-treated LS

Comple mix	7-day C	BRS: %	CBR	CBRU: %		
Sample mix	2.5 mm	5.0 mm	2.5 mm	5.0 mm		
LS LS + 2% MK LS + 4% MK	9 13 15.5	17 21 25	15 17 19	36 52 77		
LS + 6% MK LS + 8% MK LS + 10% MK	16.5 14.5	28 26 23	23 21 19	86 81 74		

Under unsoaked conditions, which involved curing for 28 days, the CBRs recorded were 23 and 86% for the two penetration capacities with the addition of peak 6% by weight of MK. These also satisfy the CBR values of 10-25% for subgrade and 30-80% for sub-base that are specified for soils by the Aashto standard, the Nigerian highway design manual by the FMWH (1997) and other relevant standards and also compare with Ojuri's finding (Aashto, 2021; Ojuri, 2016; Stameq, 2012; TRRL, 1977). There was a decline in strength beyond 6% MK. Between 2 and 6% MK addition, the soil had a consistent hydration reaction with the pozzolanic compounds (aluminosilicates) of the admixed MK and maintained strength improvement. However, beyond that, there was reduced cation-exchange capacity, which resulted in a decline. Also, the reactivity potential of the MK was reduced due to carbonation. It can also be inferred that there was more densification between 2 and 6% addition of MK, but beyond this mark, due to increased fineness, the density dropped, leading to a loss in capacity to withstand penetration. Meanwhile, the increase in the bearing capacity recorded in the laboratory exercise indicates the potential of MK obtained at a temperature of 650°C to be utilised within the limit of 6% in the stabilisation of LS under any hydraulic conditions.

#### UCS of MK-treated LS

The MK-treated soil was cured for 7, 14 and 28 days to record its UCS under loading. It can be observed in Figure 13 and Table 5



Figure 13. UCS of MK-treated LS

Table 5. UCS of MK-treated LS

Sample mix	UCS: kN/m <sup>2</sup>					
Sample mix	7 days	21 days	28 days			
LS LS + 2% MK LS + 4% MK LS + 6% MK LS + 8% MK LS + 10 MK	54 62 75 88 85 81	125 130 137 151 148 142	138 141 148 201 195 185			

that the same trend at the maximum addition of 6% by weight of MK in the LS repeated. A gain in strength was recorded between 2 and 6%, and there was a drop in strength gains beyond 6%. This was due to increased fine material of MK in the treated mix beyond 6%, which resulted in a loss of density and ability to withstand compression. The maximum strengths recorded for 7-, 14- and 28-day cured treated LS are 88, 151 and 201 kN/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Meanwhile, appropriate design standards (Aashto, 2021; FMWH, 1997; Stameq, 2011; TRRL, 1977) stipulate between 50 and 250 kN/m<sup>2</sup> as the minimum range requirement for a treated soil to be used as subgrades and sub-bases and 350 kN/m<sup>2</sup> as the minimum for base materials. It follows that at 7, 14 and 28 days of curing of the MK-treated LS, the reconstituted soil met the requirements to be utilised as a compacted subgrade. This was met at the addition of peak percentage of 6% by weight of MK.

## Conclusions

The stabilisation of LS with MK was studied under laboratory conditions by studying the mechanical properties of LS, which are CBR, under soaked and unsoaked conditions, and UCS, with curing for 7, 14 and 28 days. The following can be concluded from the exercise.

The soil showed insufficient mechanical abilities to be used as a subgrade and a sub-base of flexible pavements; hence, it needed improvement.

- The CBR and UCS showed a consistent increase in strength with the addition of between 2 and 6% by weight of MK but showed a decline beyond the 6% mark.
- The CBR showed maximum values of 17 and 28% under soaked conditions and 23 and 86% under unsoaked conditions at 2.5 and 5.0 mm penetrations, respectively. This indicates the ability of LS mixed with 6% MK to be utilised as both subgrade and sub-base materials under unsoaked conditions.
- The UCS showed maximum values of 88, 151 and 201 kN/m<sup>2</sup> for 7-, 14- and 28-day curing periods, respectively. This shows that at 28 days, the treated soil developed sufficient strength to be used as subgrade material.
- Generally, MK is a potential SCM for the stabilisation of soils for use as pavement foundation and also for use as liner material.

#### REFERENCES

- Aashto (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials) (2021) AASHTO T193: Standard method of test for the California bearing ratio (CBR) of pavement subgrade, subbase, and base/course materials from laboratory compacted specimens. Aashto, Washington, DC, USA.
- Abadin MJ and Hayano K (2022) Investigation of premature failure mechanism in pavement overlay of national highway of Bangladesh. *Construction and Building Materials* **318**: article 126194, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.126194.
- Ardah A, Abu-Farsakh M and Chen Q (2016) Evaluating the performance of cementitious treated/stabilized very weak and wet subgrade soils for sustainable pavement. In *Geo-Chicago 2016: Geotechnics for Sustainable Energy* (Farid A, De A, Reddy KR, Yesiller N and Zekko D (eds)). American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA, USA, pp. 567–576.
- ASTM (2019) C 618-19: Standard specification for coal fly ash and raw or calcined natural pozzolan for use in concrete. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- Attah IC, Agunwamba JC, Etim RK and Ogarekpe N (2019) Modelling and predicting CBR values of lateritic soil treated with metakaolin for road material. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* 14(20): 3609–3618.
- Attah IC, Okafor FO and Ugwu OO (2021) Optimization of California bearing ratio of tropical black clay soil treated with cement kiln dust and metakaolin blend. *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology* **14(6)**: 655–667, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42947-020-0003-6.
- BSI (2018) BS 1924-2:2018: Hydraulically bound and stabilized materials for civil engineering purposes. Sample preparation and testing of materials during and after treatment. BSI, London, UK.
- BSI (2019) BS 8615-1:2019: Specification for pozzolanic materials for use with Portland cement. Natural pozzolana and natural calcined pozzolana. BSI, London, UK.
- BSI (2022) BS 1377-2:2022 Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. Classification tests and determination of geotechnical properties. BSI, London, UK.
- Burra SG, Kolay P, Puri V and Kumar S (2021) Strength and compressibility of kaolinite clay stabilized with lime sludge and fly ash. In *Ground Improvement and Reinforced Soil Structures: Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference 2020 Volume 2* (Satyanarayana Reddy CNV, Saride S and Krishna AM (eds)). Springer, Singapore, pp. 271–281.
- Chindaprasirt P, Kampala A and Jitsangiam P (2020) Performance and evaluation of calcium carbide residue stabilized lateritic soil for construction materials. *Case Studies in Construction Materials* 13: article e00389, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2020.e00389.

- Deng Y, Yue X, Liu S, Chen Y and Zhang D (2015) Hydraulic conductivity of cement-stabilized marine clay with metakaolin and its correlation with pore size distribution. *Engineering Geology* **193**: 146–152, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2015.04.018.
- Etim RK, Ekpo DU, Attah IC and Onyelowe KC (2021) Effect of micro sized quarry dust particle on the compaction and strength properties of cement stabilized lateritic soil. *Cleaner Materials* 2: article 100023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clema.2021.100023.

Etim RK, Ekpo DU, Udofia GE and Attah IC (2022) Evaluation of lateritic soil stabilized with lime and periwinkle shell ash (PSA) admixture bound for sustainable road materials. *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* 7(1): article 62, https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-021-00665-z.

Firoozi AA, Guney Olgun C, Firoozi AA and Baghini SM (2017) Fundamentals of soil stabilization. *Geo-engineering* 8: article 26, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40703-017-0064-9.

- FMWH (Federal Ministry of Works and Housing) (1997) General Specification for Roads and Bridges, Vol. II. Federal Highway Department, FMWH, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Foda T, El-nimr AE and El-sekelly W (2018) Stabilization of fine sand using metakaolin. *ERJ: Engineering Research Journal* 49(3): 215–219, https://doi.org/10.21608/erjm.2018.66307.
- Jamal MA, Alsharef MRT, Ali AF and Panbarasi G (2016) Potential of using nanocarbons to stabilize weak soils. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* 2016: article 5060531, https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5060531.
- Jong SC, Ong DE and Oh E (2022) A novel Bayesian inference methodfor predicting optimum strength gain in sustainable geomaterials for greener construction. *Construction and Building Materials* **344**: article 128255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.128255.
- Kavya AB and Soorya SR (2020) Effect of metakaolin on the hydraulic conductivity of grouted sand. *International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management* **3(2)**: 370–372.
- Khadka SD, Jayawickrama PW, Senadheera S and Segvic B (2020) Stabilization of highly expansive soils containing sulfate using metakaolin and fly ash based geopolymer modified with lime and gypsum. *Transportation Geotechnics* 23: article 100327, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.trgeo.2020.100327.
- Liu Y, Ong DEL, Oh E, Liu Z and Hughes R (2022) Sustainable cementitious blends for strength enhancement of dredged mud in Queensland, Australia. *Geotechnical Research* 9(2): 65–82, https://doi. org/10.1680/jgere.21.00046.
- Maichin P, Jitsangiam P, Nongnuang T et al. (2021) Stabilized high clay content lateritic soil using cement–FGD gypsum mixtures for road subbase applications. *Materials* 14(8): article 1858, https://doi.org/10. 3390/ma14081858.
- Muhammad A, Yusuf A and Umar M (2020) Assessment of lateritic soil stabilized using metakaolin. *Journal of Geotechnical Studies* 5(1): 15–26, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3676443.
- Naeini SA, Naderinia B and Izadi E (2012) Unconfined compressive strength of clayey soils stabilized with waterborne polymer. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 16(6): 943–949, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12205-012-1388-9.
- Ojuri OO (2016) Improvement of strength characteristics of lateritic subgrade soil with shredded polyethylene waste. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management* **20(3)**: 660–666, https://doi. org/10.4314/jasem.v20i3.21.
- Onyelowe KC, Ebid AM and Nwobia LI (2021a) Predictive models of volumetric stability (durability) and erodibility of lateritic soil treated with different nanotextured bio-ashes with application of loss of strength on immersion; GP, ANN and EPR performance study. *Cleaner Materials* 1: article 100006, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clema.2021.100006.
- Onyelowe KC, Ebid AM, Nwobia LI and Dao PL (2021b) Prediction and performance analysis of compression index of multiple-binder-treated soil by genetic programming approach. *Nanotechnology for Environmental Engineering* **6(2)**: article 28, https://doi.org/10.1007/s41204-021-00123-2.

Onyelowe KC, Mojtahedi FF, Azizi S *et al.* (2022) Innovative overview of SWRC application in modeling geotechnical engineering problems. *Designs* 6(5): article 69, https://doi.org/10.3390/designs6050069.
Stameq (Directorate for Standards, Metrology and Quality) (2011) TCVN 8858:2011: Cement treated aggregate bases for road pavement – specification for construction and acceptance. Stameq, Hanoi, Vietnam.

Stameq (2012) TCVN 9436:2012: Highway embankments and cuttings – construction and quality control. Stameq, Hanoi, Vietnam.

TRRL (Transport and Road Research Laboratory) (1977) A Guide to the Structural Design of Bitumen Surfaced Roads in Tropical and Subtropical Countries. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, UK. Overseas Road Note 31.

# How can you contribute?

To discuss this paper, please submit up to 500 words to the editor at journals@ice.org.uk. Your contribution will be forwarded to the author(s) for a reply and, if considered appropriate by the editorial board, it will be published as a discussion in a future issue of the journal.